

**Members Present:** Leslie Gordon Simons, Venessa Garcia, Janne Gaub, Michelle Hughes Miller, Valerie Anderson, Sarah Murray, Sean Wilson, Leslie-Dawn Quick

- Leslie GS spoke to Chris Eskridge – we need to have a policy related to releasing statements, and it must dictate what statements can be made
- We can do two things
  - Agree on activities (that aren't policies)
  - Create procedures
    - Statement policy procedure
    - Need a procedure for passing policies
    - There was talk in 2016-2017 about passing these procedures and it never happened (according to ABP)
  - Disagreement about what constitutes a “statement”
    - Statement re: transphobia, antiracism is a “statement” – are other communications considered “responses”?
    - Chris Eskridge joined via phone – need to vote on a policy and procedures about making statements (what vote percentage, quorum, where are statements sent, how long are they posted, what kind of statements can be made, legal counsel may need to be sought)
      - Question about the difference between statements of principles and policy – Chris said there is no differentiation
      - Question about what constitutes a statement – Chris says that reporting activities to the membership is not a statement, it is an explanation
      - Question about whether the EB can publicize “private” communication/responses conducted as a part of EB business? Is it “private” or “business” communication? – Chris says other person would need to know that the response may/will be made public
      - If we change a practice of taking minutes, is that a policy? – Chris says yes – will need a subcommittee to determine all of the policies associated (detail, who takes minutes, how are they accepted, where are they posted, etc.)
        - What is the stance about making that change without Chair present? – Chris says you *can*, outsider suggestion is to wait for full board to be available for big/“hot button” issues

- Question about whether practice of taking minutes can be changed prior to passing new policy – Chris’s answer was nebulous but said yes
- Practice of note-taking has changed over time – detail is essential for transparency
  - EB members will tell folks what’s being hidden via listserv
- Motion: For today’s meeting, Janne will take detailed notes; later with full board we will make policy for vote
  - Approved: Unanimous
- Communication with membership needs to be responsive
  - Reporting of our activities is a way to communicate with membership short of making a statement
  - Agreed that we cannot name journal, editor, etc. – but we can approach the editor and report that to membership
    - One approach is to make a broader “response” about journals generally rather than being specific
- What is a quorum? Typically 50%+1
  - P. 6 – reference to Division Membership meeting
  - In the EB context, that would be 5 of 9 voting Board members
  - What is the voting threshold? Super-majority of full EB (6/9) for policy changes
- Suggested that a job of this EB and the next should be to clean up the policies and make them official and available
- Motion: Quorum of majority plus one voting members to hold meeting
  - Approved: 6 yay, 1 abstain
- Motion: Require two-thirds of voting members of Executive Board to pass policies; votes can be cast electronically or in-person/virtually, before or during the meeting
  - Approved: 6 yay, 1 abstain
- Time running short – members concerned that there was not enough time to vote on all 20 action items from the Feb. 24 meeting
  - Not voted, but consensus that we cover the items in order – Old Business that should be covered in the next meeting
- First group of items from Feb. 24 meeting – *Feminist Criminology* issues
  - Losing access
    - Technical issues – EB talk to Sage?
      - Moved: EB contact Editor of *FC* to talk to Sage to fix technical glitches

- Approved: Unanimous
  - Editorial Board members being removed without notice
    - Moved: EB asks Editor of *FC* to formally (in writing) notify editorial board members when they are removed/rotated off
      - Approved: Unanimous
- Action related to response to membership concerns
  - Meeting needed to be adjourned, will need to be addressed via email